This paper describes the fast recovery (FR) method for fast-scan magnetic resonance imaging. The FR method uses a sequence of four radio frequency pulses: alternating selective 90° nutation pulses and nonselective 180° pulses. One free induction decay (FID) signal and one echo signal are detected and averaged to compute a 2-D image. In the modified FR method, extra 180° pulses are applied between 90° pulses to cause refocusing and the resultant spin echo signals are averaged to improve the signal-to-noise ratio. For the FR and modified FR sequences, the macroscopic magnetization is restored to equilibrium quickly and exactly; scan time can consequently be less than that for conventional pulse sequences, such as used in the saturation recovery method, without any penalty in signal-to-noise ratio.

This paper derives expressions for signal-to-noise ratio, scan time ratio and contrast noise ratio, compares the FR and modified FR methods with the saturation recovery method, and presents experimental results for human body images.

1. Introduction

Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) as a method to obtain cross-section images of the human body without injury using proton (1H) nuclear magnetic resonance signals was proposed by Lauterbur. The method attracted interest as a useful and new diagnosis, and practical equipment was developed. MRI presents no radiation hazard but the images have to be reconstructed from very low energy signals and low signal-to-noise ratio (S/N) and requires a long scan time due to the interval time in scan sequences during which there is no signal. Compared with X-ray computed tomography systems which have a scan time of 3 - 10 s, conventional MRI systems require a scan time of 60 - 300 s. If the scan time of MRI could be reduced, it would bring benefits of reduced diagnostic cost, physical and mental damage to patients, and motion artifacts. The image quality of MRI systems has recently been improved on a practical level, and further efforts to reduce the scan time and to be able to measure multi functions have been started.

There are two well-known concepts for reducing the scan time, the first is to improve the hardware such as by adopting a strong magnet of up to 1.5 - 2T, and the second is to improve the pulse sequence which means improving the algorithm that controls the behavior of the macroscopic magnetization M of proton (1H). The first approach reduces the scan time without data averaging while improving S/N, as S/N is proportional to magnetic field strength. There have been several reports on the second approach such as:

1) Echo Planar method (EP)
2) Carr-Purcell-Meiboom-Gill method (CPMG)
3) Multi Slice method (MS)
4) Steady State Free Precession method (SSFP)
5) Fast Recovery method (FR)

EP can acquire an image in several tens of milliseconds, but S/N is not improved and the quality of the image is poor. CPMG can acquire many projection data signals of multi sections with one ex citation pulse, but image characterization is very complex due to the spin-spin relaxation time (T2). MS can obtain many projection data signals by exciting the slices one by one in the waiting time during which the macroscopic magnetization of a slice reaches thermal equilibrium, and the total scan time for a slice is not reduced. SSFP can successfully obtain all data signals without waiting for M to reach thermal equilibrium, but the image contrast is not sufficient. The authors have already proposed a new pulse sequence named Fast Recovery method (FR) for fast scans and reported the analysis and experimental results using small magnet equipment. This paper reports the fast scan capability and high quality image of FR by analyzing S/N, scan time, image contrast between tissues, and the experimental results of imaging of the human head.
Fast Recovery Method

2.1 Principle of nuclear magnetic resonance imaging method

Figure 1 shows the apparatus for the imaging method and a conventional pulse sequence named Saturation Recovery method (SR). Proton $^1$H in uniform static magnetic field $H_0$ precesses in Larmor frequency ($\omega_0 = \frac{\gamma}{\hbar} H_0$, $\gamma$ is magnetic rotation ratio) and generates macroscopic magnetization $M$ along the direction $H_0$ in thermal equilibrium. A narrow spectrum radio frequency (RF) pulse (frequency $\omega_0$, $90^\circ$ pulse) is applied to selectively excite a thin slice, $M$ is nutated to the xy plane of the xzy coordinates, and the frame rotates at the Larmor frequency. Before the RF $180^\circ$ pulse is applied, a gradient magnetic field $G_x$ linear along the x axis is applied. After the $180^\circ$ RF pulse, the echo signal is generated and detected with a gradient $G_y$ linear along the y axis. After interval time $T_r$, this pulse sequence is repeated. The $G_y$ is changed each time sequence and the location of $^1$H on the x axis is identified from the phase of the echo signal. Also, $G_x$ identifies $^1$H on the y axis from Larmor frequency. Then, a two-dimensional image of $^1$H density can be achieved by Fourier transform of the echo signals. In the conventional method to create a bigger echo signal, time interval $T_r$ of sequences must be $T_r > 3T_1$ to return $M$ to thermal equilibrium, where $T_1$ is spin-lattice relaxation time. For this reason, the SR method requires a long scan time. For example, if $T_1$ of the human brain is 0.3 - 0.5 s, $T_r > 1.5$ s, and the number of sequences $n$ is 256, then the total scan time is 384 s. A longer scan time is required if the pulse sequence is repeated and the echo signals averaged to improve S/N. In practice, $T_r$ 0.5 s is adopted to achieve good image contrast and reduce the scan time, but results in a poor S/N.

2.2 Principle of the fast recovery method

The pulse sequence of the FR method and the behavior of $M$ in the rotational coordinate frame are illustrated in Fig. 2 (horizontal axis is time). The subscripts of RF pulses, x and y, indicate RF phase. The specimen is placed in $H_0$ which defines the z axis. First, a linear gradient field Gz is applied and a $90^\circ$, $90^\circ$ narrow spectrum RF pulse signal is applied to selectively excite a thin slice. The $90^\circ$ means that the pulse nutates the spins through $90^\circ$ (the angle depends on the intensity-pulsewidth of the pulse) and the x subscript means that the rotation is $90^\circ$ from the z axis to the y axis about the x axis; the axis of rotation depends on the RF phase shift. After $90^\circ$, a phase shift gradient field Gy and the read-out projecting gradient field Gx are applied and free induction decay (FID) signals are produced. After $T_s /2$ seconds, nonselective $180^\circ$, $180^\circ$ pulse and gradient field Gx to cause a refocusing and resultant spin echo signal are applied successively. After $T_s$, the spin echo peak, a selective $180^\circ$ exciting pulse is applied and - together with Gz, which is a time-inverted mirror image of the first Gz - rotates the refocused spins in the slice to along the negative z axis. Then, all spins of the specimen are along the z-axis, but inverted. Immediately after the $90^\circ$ pulse, a nonselective $180^\circ$, $180^\circ$ is applied to rotate all spins to the negative x-axis and restore the spin system to equilibrium. The spins do not completely recover equilibrium due to a $T_2$ dephasing effect during $T_s$, so before repeating the pulse sequence, it is necessary to wait a decay interval $T_d$ for $M$ to recover equilibrium spontaneously by natural relaxation. This pulse sequence runs continuously and the two read signals, FID and spin echo, are averaged to reconstruct an image by the Fourier Transform method. From the behavior of $M$ shown in Fig. 2, it is clear that the FR method can drive the $M$ of all areas of the specimen to equilibrium quickly and precisely without penalty of S/N, so that a short waiting time $T_d > T_1$ is required. The pulse sequence used in the "Driven Equilibrium Fourier Transform (DEFT)" method in conventional nuclear magnetic resonance analysis to shorten the data acquisition time would be difficult or impossible to apply to two-dimensional imaging. Because the $M$ of an edge of a slice cannot be restored completely to
Figure 2. Pulse sequence for the fast recovery method.
Gz, Gx, Gy are gradient fields. Gz is parallel and Gx, Gy are orthogonal to magnetic field H0.
(a), (b) and (c) illustrate the behavior of magnetization M. (a) In the center of the slice. (b) In the boundary area (on the surface of the slice). (c) Out side the slice.

Figure 3. Using multiple echoes with the fast recovery method.

In this section, the S/N is compared between the FRFID method which uses FIDs shown in Fig. 2 and the FRmSE method which uses multi spin echo (mSE); m is the number of echo signals shown in Fig. 3.

The maximum point of FID signal intensity in Fig. 2 is

\[
S_{\text{FID}} = A M_0 \;
\]

where

\[
A = \text{selective pulse} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{non-selective pulse}
\]

\[
\exp \left( -\frac{T_{\text{ref}}}{T_1} \right)
\]

The signal intensity of the spin echo signal is

\[
S_{\text{SE}} = S_{\text{FID}} \exp \left( -\frac{T_{\text{ref}}}{T_2} \right)
\]

The image is reconstructed by averaging SFID and SSE, and noise, N, has no correlation. Thus, S/N is

\[
(S/N)_{\text{SE}} = \frac{(S/N)_{\text{FID}} + S_{\text{SE}}}{2} N
\]

The maximum point of k-th spin echo in Fig. 3 is

3. Signal to Noise Ratio

thermal equilibrium by DEFT, S/N is not improved and slice cross section is complex. A variation of the FR method is shown Fig. 3. Other 180° pulses are applied between two 90° pulses and multi echo signals are generated. If the number of these 180° pulses is odd, 90° and 180° pulses are required to restore the M to equilibrium, and if even, only 90° pulses are required. It is possible to average these multi echo signals but S/N is not improved due to the T2 effect during T_{\text{ref}} + T_{\text{ref}} + T_{\text{ref}} + ... .

In the following discussion, T_{\text{ref}} = T_{\text{ref}} = ... = T_{\text{ref}} = T_{e} is defined. Theoretical and experimental results using phantoms of signal intensity and T, in FRFID, FR1SE and SR methods are shown in Fig. 4. Here, S/N of the SR method is

\[
(S/N)_{\text{SR}} = \frac{AM_0}{N} \;
\]

\[
\exp \left( -\frac{1}{T_1} \right)
\]

\[
\exp \left( -\frac{T_{\text{ref}}}{T_2} \right)
\]

\[
\exp \left( -\frac{T_{\text{ref}}}{T_2} \right)
\]

These results show that the pulse sequences are precisely controlled. Also, the signal intensities are FRFID > FR1SE > SR and the shorter the T, the bigger the difference among these.
S/N of FRFID, equation (3), and FRnSE, equation (5), are compared in Fig. 5 where relative S/N is normalized by the S/N of FR1SE. T1 and T2 values of the human brain gray matter (GM) and cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) are adopted. In Fig. 5(a), the larger m gives a better S/N in the case of T1 > 500 ms, because echo signal averaging is more effective when T2 > 600 ms of CSF. According to these results, the S/N of FRFID is 1.5 times better at T1 = 200 ms, and 1.9 times better at T1 = 100 ms than FR1SE. FRnSE with short T1 for fast scan shows a small improvement in S/N.

4. Scan Time

The scan time TFR, TSE and TSR of the FRFID, FR1SE and SR methods respectively are discussed here. The scan time is expressed by

\[ T = p n T_r \]  

(7)

where p is the number of averaging data and n is the number of pixels in a line. In the condition of constant p and same S/N, the scan time parameters are:

1) S/N is improved by p number at constant T1.
2) T1 is selected to achieve the same S/N at constant p.

In the case of 1), the authors reported that the S/N of FRFID was 2 - 5 times larger than the SR method and TFR / TSE = 2 - 5. \( T_r = 0.2 \sim 1 \) s, so case 2) is discussed here.

Figure 6 shows TFR / TSE and TSE / TSR for given S/N. The slice profile is a Gaussian function. TFR is 1/4 of TSE in T1 = 0.07 - 0.25 s of FRFID, and TSE is 1/2 of TSR in T1 = 0.1 - 0.5 s of FR1SE.

5. Relationship between S/N and Static Magnetic Field

S/N is proportional to H0 when T1 >> T2, and the results of improved S/N and short scan time using a high static magnetic field have been reported. The relationship between S/N and the static magnetic field in FRFID is discussed here. T1 of the human body depends on H0, and is expressed by

\[ T_1 = C H_0^D \]  

(8)

Here, resonant frequency D is (0/20) H0, and C and D are constants depending on human tissues. For the human brain, C = 1.52 \( \times 10^3 \) and D = 3.48 \( \times 10^3 \) of white matter.
(WM), and $C = 3.62 \times 10^{-3}$ and $D = 3.08 \times 10^{-1}$ of gray matter (GM). S/N of the SR method (6) is expressed as follows including the $H_0$ effect of (8).

$$\frac{A M_0 (S/N)_{SR}}{N} = \exp \left\{ 1 - \exp \left( - \left( \frac{C}{D} \right)^2 \right) \right\} + \exp \left( - \frac{C}{D} \right) \exp \left( - \frac{T_2}{C} \right)$$ \hspace{1cm} (9).

Figure 7 shows the relationship between $H_0$ and relative $S/N$ normalized by $(S/N)_{SR} = 1$ at $H_0 = 0.15 T$. $(S/N)_{FID}$ at $0.15 T$ is almost equal to that of $(S/N)_{SR}$ at $0.5 T$, because $(S/N)_{FID} / (S/N)_{SR} \approx 3$.

6. Contrast Noise Ratio (CNR) among Tissues

Medical imaging diagnosis uses the image contrast to discriminate between normal tissues and pathological changes in physiological function and anatomical shapes. CNR due to changes in $T_1$ and $T_2$ by pathological changes was already reported. CNR of anatomical shapes of the human brain tissues is analyzed below. Under the conditions that the scan time, image area, pixel size and data sampling time $T_e$ are fixed, and the signals are averaged $(T / T_r)$ times, the frequency bandwidth is

$$\omega = n (1 / T_e)$$ \hspace{1cm} (10).

Noise is

$$\sigma = \sqrt{T_r / T_e} \cdot \sqrt{1 / T_s}$$ \hspace{1cm} (11).

CNR is

$$\text{CNR} = \omega S / \sigma \omega S \sqrt{T_e / T_r}$$ \hspace{1cm} (12)

where $\omega S$ is the signal difference between two tissues.

Figure 8 shows the CNR of GM, WM and CSF in SR and FR1SE methods with the parameters of $T_r = 1 s$, $T_e = 0.02 s$, $M_0 = 1$ of GM, $A = 1$, and CNR = 1 in $\omega S = 1$. Maximum CNR between GM and WM is -0.12 by the SR method in $T_r = 0.2 s$ and $T_e = 0.02 s$. But CNR is about zero in $T_r = 0.9 s$ where S/N is best. In FR1SE, CNR is about zero in $T_r =$.
0.2s and 0.08 in Tr > 0.5 s where S/N is better. Also, CNR between GM and CSF is good, -0.32, in Tr = 0.1 - 0.2 s. CNR of FRFID is almost the same as that of FR1SE. These results showed that CNR of the FR method between normal human brain tissues is low for a shorter scan time, Tr = 0.1 - 0.2 s, and has good contrast with high S/N in Tr > 0.5 s.

7. Experimental results

Measurements were made using a four-coil resistive magnet with 0.15T field in a whole body MRI machine designed by the authors, show n in Photo 1. The magnetic field uniformity including time drift is ±20 ppm. Photo 2 shows the images of the SR and FR1SE methods for the same S/N and that Tr/TFR = 3, the same as Fig. 6. The images of FRFID are shown in Photo 3; (a) is a fast-scan image with Tr = 0.1 s and TFR = 25.4 s but low contrast between WM and GM, and (b) is a high contrast and S/N image of 1H density with Tr = 1 s and TFR = 254 s.

8. Conclusions

This paper gave a theoretical analysis and experimental results of the human body of FRFID and FRmSE, in terms of S/N, scan time and CNR of images. The results can be summarized as follows.

1) The first images of a human head using the FR method were obtained.

2) S/N of FRFID and FRmSE was analyzed as: FRFID > FR1SE > FRmSE (m = 2).

3) The scan time of FRFID was 1/4 of SR and that of FR1SE was 1/2 for the same S/N images, with Tr = 0.07 - 0.25 s of FRFID and Tr = 0.1 - 0.5 s of FR1SE.

4) FRFID was better than FRmSE in S/N and scan time, whereas FRmSE was better for non-uniformity of static magnetic field due to the use of 180° pulses and echo signals.

5) S/N of the FR method using a 0.15T magnet was the same as that of the SR method using a 0.5T magnet. This indicates that the FR method reduces the system cost.

6) CNR of the FR method was analyzed and demonstrated in human images. The fast-scan images of Tr = 0.1 - 0.2 s showed low CNR and the images of Tr > 0.5 s showed high CNR and S/N.

The FR method is a new algorithm to control macroscopic magnetization and shorten the scan time using new RF pulse sequences. FR has advantages such as no additional cost is required because a stronger magnetic field is not needed, and by changing the pulse sequence program a conventional MRI machine can be changed to a fast-scan machine. In order to control the macroscopic magnetization M precisely, a stable RF pulse and gradient field of the machine are required. In future work, we will optimize the parameters of the FR method for diseases by clinical testing on patients.
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