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#### Abstract

Control systems in which signals are transmitted over a network have been attracting great attention recently. In such systems, transmission delay varies irregularly due to congestion of the network. This results in control performance degradation. In order to solve this problem, this paper proposes an observer design method for the systems with time-varying transmission delay. Using a time-stamp of each received packet, the method switches observer gains to estimate the present state of the plant. Then, the observer design is reduced to stabilization of a switched estimation error system. Linear matrix inequalities (LMIs) make it possible to design the observer multiple gains so that the stability of the error system is guaranteed even if the gains are switched randomly. Furthermore, the performance of the gain switching observer is improved by specifying decay rate of a Lyapunov function for the error system. Finally, numerical and experimental examples illustrate the effectiveness of the proposed method.
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## 1. Introduction

Studies on networked control systems have been attracting great attention recently, ${ }^{1) \sim 3)}$ where a communication network is used to exchange signals for control among control system components (sensors, controllers, actuators, etc.) By using network, we have various advantages such as lower cost to install an instrument in a factory and easier maintenance of the instrument. Furthermore, using infrastructure such as the Internet, fast communication is achieved easily. However, when packets are sent over the public network, the transmission delay varies irregularly depending on congestion of the network. The variation of delay is called jitter, which causes control performance degradation. ${ }^{4)}$

For systems with delay, a state prediction method such as the Smith predictor ${ }^{5)}$ is discussed in the literature. When the full state can not be measured, an observer is used to estimate the state from observation signals transmitted over a network. One study ${ }^{6)}$ proposes a robust $H_{\infty}$ observer that can estimate the state of discrete-time systems with delay. In the network architecture field, buffer memory ${ }^{7}$ ) is used to reduce the variation of delay. In the memory, received signals are saved within a

[^0]certain period and sorted in the order of transmission. This procedure makes the delay length constant.
However, methods in ${ }^{6)}$ cannot be applied to the state estimation for systems with time-varying transmission delay. The variation of delay is a phenomenon peculiar to networked control systems. By using the buffer memory, signals are stored for a period of maximum delay length. However, the method is unsuitable for feedback control, where real time process is essential.

In the research field of network communication, it is known that the delay length is measurable via timestamp ${ }^{8)}$; information as to when the data were sent. The scenario is the following: First, the sender includes the time-stamp to every packet ${ }^{9)}$ (see Fig. 1). The receiver calculates the difference between the time-stamp and the signal's arrival time. Then, delay length is obtained. This means that delay length is known at the instance of receiving signals. In this paper, we design a gain switching observer using the time-stamp information under random delay. According to the transmission delay of observation signals, the observer switches gain and estimates the current state of the plant. The design of the observer is reduced to the stabilization problem for estimation error systems with arbitrary switching.

This paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, we formulate the estimation problem. In Section 3, we describe our design method for the observer and prove that estimation error converges to zero. Section 4 shows the design method to specify the decay rate of the Lyapunov


Fig. 1 Calculating time delay by time-stamp
function. Sections 5 and 6 illustrate numerical examples and experimental results. Finally, this paper concludes in Section 7.

## 2. Problem Formulation

### 2.1 Problem statement

Consider a linear time-invariant discrete-time plant

$$
\begin{align*}
x(t+1) & =\bar{A} x(t)+\bar{B} u(t),  \tag{1a}\\
y(t) & =\bar{C} x(t), \quad t=0,1,2, \cdots \tag{1b}
\end{align*}
$$

where $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, u \in \mathbb{R}^{m}$, and $y \in \mathbb{R}^{p}$ denote state, input, and output vectors respectively. We assume that $(\bar{C}, \bar{A})$ is observable. Our problem is observer-based estimation for (1). Observation signal $y(t)$ at time $t$ is transmitted to the observer. Here, we assume the following conditions for transmission delay $h(t)$ at time $t$.
(A1) $\quad h(0)=0$ for simplicity.
(A2) $h(t)$ varies randomly, but is known at the instant of receiving data via time-stamp information.
(A3) $h \in \mathcal{Z}_{h_{d}}^{+} \equiv\left\{0,1,2, \cdots, h_{d}\right\}$, where $\mathcal{Z}_{h_{d}}^{+}$is the set of nonnegative integers not larger than $h_{d}$ and $h_{d}$ is constant maximal time delay.
Note. Since we use the time-stamp, the delay $h(t)$ is known at the instance of receiving a packet. Note that the delay length is not known a priori.

Note. To measure $h(t)$, we assume the use of a particular protocol in this paper.

Note. (A3) implicitly indicates that no packet loss is allowed in this network.

Under these assumptions, delay $h(t)$ varies randomly. Here, we denote transmission delay of observed signal $y(t)$ by $d(t)$. Note that $d(t)$ is different from $h(t)$, because the packet observed at $t$ was sent at $t-d(t)$ while the packet sent at $t$ will be observed at $t+h(t)$.

Fig. 2 and Table 1 show signal arrival sequence and variation of delay, respectively. * means a case not receiving signals and hence $d$ is indefinite. For example, $h(3)=1$ at time $t=3$. Then, the observer receives signal $y(1)$ with 2 steps delay. Therefore, $d(3)=2$. When $y(2)$ and $y(4)$ are received at time $t=5$, then $d(5)=1$


Fig. 2 Variation of transmission delay

Table 1 Time delay $h(t)$ and $d(t)$

| Sample time $t$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $y(t)$ received at <br> the observer | $y(0)$ | $*$ | $*$ | $y(1)$ | $y(3)$ | $y(2), y(4)$ |
| Delay $h(t)$ | 0 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| Delay $d(t)$ | 0 | $*$ | $*$ | 2 | 1 | 1,3 |

and 3. In this paper, we consider delay $d(t)$, rather than $h(t)$. The next section shows the rule of selecting signals for estimation in cases without receiving signals and with receiving multiple signals simultaneously.

Note. From the definition of $d(t)$, assumptions (A1)(A3) hold for delay $d(t)$, similarly as for $h(t)$.

### 2.2 Augmented system

In this section, we formulate the system with the transmission delay. Consider an augmented system with $h_{d}$ delay operators, $z^{-1}$, serially connected to the plant (1) as illustrated in Fig. 3. Output $y_{d}^{\#}(t) \in \mathbb{R}^{p}, d \in \mathcal{Z}_{h_{d}}^{+} \backslash 0$ from the delay $z^{-1}$ means observation signal $y(t-d)$. In the case of delay $d$, the observer detects $y_{d}^{\#}(t) . \mathcal{Z}_{h_{d}}^{+} \backslash 0$ is the set excluding element zero. Here, we define the state $\tilde{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times p h_{d}}$ of the augmented system as

$$
\tilde{x}=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
x^{\prime} & y_{1}^{\#^{\prime}} & \cdots & y_{h_{d}}^{\#^{\prime}}
\end{array}\right]^{\prime}
$$

where ' denotes transposition. Then, the augmented system is described by

$$
\begin{align*}
\tilde{x}(t+1) & =A \tilde{x}(t)+B u(t)  \tag{2a}\\
\tilde{y}(t) & =C_{d(t)} \tilde{x}(t), \quad d(t) \in \mathcal{Z}_{h_{d}}^{+} \backslash 0, \quad t=0,1,2, \cdots \tag{2b}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
A=\left[\begin{array}{cccccc}
\bar{A} & \mathbf{0}_{n \times p} & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \mathbf{0}_{n \times p} \\
\bar{C} & \mathbf{0}_{p \times p} & \ldots & \cdots & \ldots & \mathbf{0}_{p \times p} \\
\mathbf{0}_{p \times n} & I_{p} & \ddots & & & \vdots \\
\vdots & \mathbf{0}_{p \times p} & \ddots & \ddots & & \vdots \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\mathbf{0}_{p \times n} & \mathbf{0}_{p \times p} & \ldots & \mathbf{0}_{p \times p} & I_{p} & \mathbf{0}_{p \times p}
\end{array}\right], \\
B=\left[\begin{array}{lllll}
\bar{B}^{\prime} & \mathbf{0}_{p \times m}^{\prime} & \cdots & \mathbf{0}_{p \times m}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right]^{\prime}
\end{gathered}
$$


$C_{d}= \begin{cases}{\left[\begin{array}{lll}\bar{C} & \mathbf{0}_{p \times p h_{d}}\end{array}\right]} & \text { if } d=0 \\ {\left[\begin{array}{lll}\mathbf{0}_{p \times(n+p d-p)} & I_{p} & \mathbf{0}_{p \times\left(p h_{d}-p d\right)}\end{array}\right] \text { if } d \in \mathcal{Z}_{h_{d}}^{+} \backslash 0,}\end{cases}$ with identity matrix $I_{p} \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times p}$ and zero matrix $\mathbf{0}_{k \times q} \in$ $\mathbb{R}^{k \times q}$ respectively. Note that $C_{d}$ in (2b) is time-varying according to transmission delay $d$ of signal $\tilde{y}(t)=y_{d}^{\#}=$ $y(t-d)$ received at time $t$. In the next section, we consider the design of an observer for (2). Since the augmented system (2b) includes state $x$ of plant (1), the state estimation for (2b) induces that for (1).

## 3. Gain Switching Observer

### 3.1 Structure of switching observer

Our gain switching observer consists of the augmented systems and multiple observer gains $F_{d}, d \in \mathcal{Z}_{h_{d}}^{+}$as shown in Fig. 4. This observer selects $F_{d}$ among those gains if it recognizes the transmission delay $d$ of the received signal $y_{d}^{\#}$. We define estimate as

$$
\hat{\tilde{x}}=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
\hat{x}^{\prime} & \hat{y}_{1}^{\#^{\prime}} & \cdots & \hat{y}_{h_{d}}^{\#^{\prime}}
\end{array}\right]^{\prime}
$$

where $\hat{\tilde{x}} \in \mathbb{R}^{n+p h_{d}}, \hat{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, and $\hat{y}_{d}^{\#} \in \mathbb{R}^{p}$ are the estimations of $\tilde{x}, x$, and $y_{d}^{\#}$ respectively. Our gain switching observer for system (2) is given by

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\hat{\tilde{x}}(t+1)=A \hat{\tilde{x}}(t)+B u(t)+F_{d(t)}\left(\tilde{y}(t)-C_{d(t)} \hat{\tilde{x}}(t)\right),  \tag{3}\\
d(t) \in \mathcal{Z}_{h_{d}}^{+}, \quad t=0,1,2, \cdots
\end{array}
$$

## 3. 2 Rule of selecting signals for state estimation

In this section, we explain our state estimation method. Under the assumption (A1),
(B1) Output signal $y(0)$ arrives at the observer at instance $t=0$.
From (A2)-(A3), the following events (B2)-(B4) occur. (B2) The observer does not receive any signals for pe$\operatorname{riod} T_{\alpha}\left(0<T_{\alpha}<h_{d}\right)($ see Fig. $5(\mathrm{a}))$.
(B3) The observer receives one or multiple signals at the same sampling time $0<t=t_{\beta}$ (see Fig. $5(\mathrm{~b})$ ).
(B4) A signal $y\left(t_{1}\right)$ arrives at the observer later than another signal $y\left(t_{2}\right), t_{1}<t_{2}$ (see Fig. $5(\mathrm{c})$ ).
In all of the cases (B1)-(B4), our estimation method is as follows.
(C) At sampling time $t=t_{\gamma}$, the observer employs the


Fig. 4 Augmented system and gain switching observer
newest signal among the received signals for $0 \leq t \leq t_{\gamma}$.
Note. If the observer receives signal $y\left(t_{2}\right)$ earlier than $y\left(t_{1}\right), t_{1}<t_{2}$ (see Fig. 5(c)), then the observer does not use $y\left(t_{1}\right)$ for estimation.
When no or multiple signals arrive at step $t$, then, from Fig. 2 and Table 1, delay $d(t)$ is indefinite or multiple values, respectively. However, from the rule (C), delay $d$ is unique. For example, the observer does not receive signals at time $t=0$, but uses the newest signal $y(0), d(0)=0$ (see Fig. 6). At time $t=1$, the delay of signal $y(0)$ changes from zero to one step. Then, the observer switches to gain $F_{1}$. In case of simultaneous arrival of $y(1)$ and $y(2)$, the newest signal is $y(2)$ with delay $d(3)=1$.

### 3.3 Design of the gain switching observer

Since this observer (3) switches gain according to transmission delay of observation signals, the estimation error system is also switched. To guarantee the stability of the error system for arbitrary switching, we design the gain $F_{d}$. We define estimation error $\tilde{e} \in \mathbb{R}^{n+p h_{d}}$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{e}=\tilde{x}-\hat{\tilde{x}} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2)-(4), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tilde{e}(t+1)=\left(A-F_{d(t)} C_{d(t)}\right) \tilde{e}(t)  \tag{5}\\
& \quad d(t) \in \mathcal{Z}_{h_{d}}^{+}, t=0,1,2, \cdots
\end{align*}
$$

Note that $F_{d(t)}$ and $C_{d(t)}$ in (5) are time-varying. We design the observer using common Lyapunov solution so that system (5) is stable for arbitrary switching. Now consider $h_{d}+1$ Lyapunov inequalities for a single $P$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(A-F_{d} C_{d}\right)^{\prime} P\left(A-F_{d} C_{d}\right)-P<0, P>0, d \in \mathcal{Z}_{h_{d}}^{+} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (6) and the Schur complement, we obtain the matrix inequalities

(a) Nonarrival of signals (B2)

(b) Simultaneous arrival of multiple signals (B3)

(c) Earlier arrival (B4)

(d) Earlier arrival and simultaneous arrival
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$$
\left[\begin{array}{cc}
-P & P\left(A-F_{d} C_{d}\right) \\
\left(A-F_{d} C_{d}\right)^{\prime} P & -P
\end{array}\right]<0, d \in \mathcal{Z}_{h_{d}}^{+}
$$

Let $X_{d}=P F_{d}, d \in \mathcal{Z}_{h_{d}}^{+}$. Then, these matrix inequalities are expressed as $h_{d}+1$ LMIs

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cc}
-P & P A-X_{d} C_{d}  \tag{7}\\
A^{\prime} P-C_{d}^{\prime} X_{d}^{\prime} & -P
\end{array}\right]<0, d \in \mathcal{Z}_{h_{d}}^{+}
$$

If there exist $P>0$ and $X_{d}, d \in \mathcal{Z}_{h_{d}}^{+}$, we design gain $F_{d}, d \in \mathcal{Z}_{h_{d}}^{+}$as follows.

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{d}=P^{-1} X_{d}, d \in \mathcal{Z}_{h_{d}}^{+} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, let us check the stability of error system (5) with gain $F_{d}, d \in \mathcal{Z}_{h_{d}}^{+}$designed by the above method. From assumptions (A1)-(A3), event (B1) occurs at $t=0$ and (B2), (B3), or (B4) happens for any $t>0$. Therefore, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 1. Assume that there exists $P>0$ satisfying LMI (7) and that the events (B1)-(B4) occur. Then, the positive definite function $V(t)=\tilde{e}^{\prime}(t) P \tilde{e}(t)$ satisfies

$$
\Delta V(t) \equiv V(t+1)-V(t)<0, \quad t=0,1,2, \cdots
$$

Proof. The proof of this lemma is given in the Appendix.
From Lemma 1, we obtain the following result.
Theorem 1. Assume that there exist $P>0$ and $X_{d}, d \in \mathcal{Z}_{h_{d}}^{+}$such that LMIs (7) hold for the augmented system (2). If the observer (3) is designed by taking

$F_{d}=P^{-1} X_{d}, d \in \mathcal{Z}_{h_{d}}^{+}$, then the origin $\tilde{e}=0$ is asymptotically stable.

Proof. We consider a candidate of Lyapunov function $V(t)=\tilde{e}^{\prime}(t) P \tilde{e}(t)$. Under assumptions (A1)-(A3), we always have one of the events (B1)-(B4). From Lemma 1, in the cases of those events, $\Delta V<0$ holds. From Lyapunov's stability theorem, the origin $\tilde{e}=0$ is asymptotically stable.
From Theorem 1, we can ensure estimation error ẽ converges to zero by the proposed observer. Therefore, system (2) is estimated successfully.

## 4. Performance Improvement of Switching Observer

To improve performance of our observer, we design gain $F_{d}$ so that the estimation error (Lyapunov function) is decreased in a specified rate. Let us denote candidate of Lyapunov function $V(t)$ by $\tilde{e}^{\prime}(t) P \tilde{e}(t), P>0$. We design gain $F_{d}, d \in \mathcal{Z}_{h_{d}}^{+}$satisfying the following inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(t+1)<r^{2} V(t), \quad 0<r \leq 1 \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $r$ is decay rate of $V(t)$. From the definition of $V(t)$, (9) is described as

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\left(A-F_{d} C_{d}\right)^{\prime} r^{-1} P\left(A-F_{d} C_{d}\right)-r P<0, P>0  \tag{10}\\
d \in \mathcal{Z}_{h_{d}}^{+}, \quad 0<r \leq 1
\end{array}
$$

From the Schur complement, (10) is equivalent to

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cc}
-r P & P\left(A-F_{d} C_{d}\right)  \tag{11}\\
\left(A-F_{d} C_{d}\right)^{\prime} P & -r P
\end{array}\right]<0,
$$

Now let $Y_{d}=P F_{d}, d \in \mathcal{Z}_{h_{d}}^{+}$. Then, (11) is reduced to LMIs for $P$ and $Y_{d}$

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
{\left[\begin{array}{cc}
-r P & P A-Y_{d} C_{d} \\
A^{\prime} P-C_{d}^{\prime} Y_{d}^{\prime} & -r P
\end{array}\right]<0}  \tag{12}\\
& d \in \mathcal{Z}_{h_{d}}^{+}, \quad 0<r \leq 1
\end{array}
$$

If there exist $P>0$ and $Y_{d}$ satisfying (12), gain $F_{d}, d \in$ $\mathcal{Z}_{h_{d}}^{+}$is calculated as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{d}=P^{-1} Y_{d}, d \in \mathcal{Z}_{h_{d}}^{+} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, the next theorem holds.
Theorem 2. If there exist $P>0$ and $Y_{d}, d \in \mathcal{Z}_{h_{d}}^{+}$ such that LMIs (12) hold, then the origin $\tilde{e}=0$ is asymptotically stable and (9) holds by the switching law (13).

Proof. As stated above, if there exist $P>0$ and $Y_{d}, d \in \mathcal{Z}_{h_{d}}^{+}$such that LMIs (12) hold for the augmented system (2), then (9) holds. From $0<r \leq 1$ and $P>0$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(t+1)<r^{2} V(t) \leq V(t) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (14), $V(t+1)-V(t)<0$, that is, Lyapunov inequality (6) holds. This means that there exist $P>0$ and $X_{d}, d \in \mathcal{Z}_{h_{d}}^{+}$such that LMIs (7) hold. Thus, from Theorem 1, the origin $\tilde{e}=0$ is asymptotically stable.

## 5. Numerical Simulation

In order to verify the effectiveness of the proposed method, the authors have performed a numerical simulation. Consider a continuous-time model of the DC motor adopted in ${ }^{10)}$. The state equation of the DC motor is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \dot{x}_{c}\left(t_{c}\right)=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
0 & -9.8
\end{array}\right] x_{c}\left(t_{c}\right)+\left[\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
49
\end{array}\right] u_{c}\left(t_{c}\right),  \tag{15a}\\
& y_{c}\left(t_{c}\right)=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0
\end{array}\right] x_{c}, \quad x_{c}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\theta & \dot{\theta}
\end{array}\right]^{\prime}, \tag{15b}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\theta, \dot{\theta}$, and $u$ are functions of time $t_{c}[\mathrm{sec}]$ and mean a DC motor's angle, angular velocity, and input voltage to the motor, respectively. In this numerical example, we estimate the state $x=[\theta, \dot{\theta}]^{\prime}$ based on output signal $y=\theta$. Let $\hat{\theta}$ and $\hat{\dot{\theta}}$ be estimations of $\theta$ and $\dot{\theta}$. System (15) is discretized into a ZHO equivalent one with the sampling period 10 [ms]. Input is chosen as $u_{c}=3 \cos \left(1000 t_{c}\right)$. Initial state $\tilde{x}(0)$ of (2) is zero vector. Estimate $\hat{\tilde{x}}(0)$ is chosen as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{\tilde{x}}(0) & =\left[\begin{array}{lllll}
\hat{\theta}(0) & \hat{\dot{\theta}}(0) & \hat{y}_{1}^{\#^{\prime}}(0) & \cdots & \hat{y}_{h_{d}}^{\#^{\prime}}(0)
\end{array}\right]^{\prime} \\
& =\left[\begin{array}{lllll}
0.1 & 1.0 & 0 & \cdots & 0
\end{array}\right]^{\prime} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Fig. 7 shows a sample sequence of the time delay from uniform distribution with maximum $90[\mathrm{~ms}]\left(h_{d}=9\right)$. First, we have designed the switching observer with $r=1$ stated in Section III. The time response of state and estimate is given in Fig. 8. In these figures, solid and dashed lines respectively mean the real state $\theta, \dot{\theta}$ and estimated state $\hat{\theta}, \hat{\dot{\theta}}$. In both figures, it turns out that the estimation converges to the true state as time passes. We can see
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(b) Angular velocity $\dot{\theta}(t), \hat{\dot{\theta}}(t)$
e and estimation value ( $r=1.0$ )
Fig. $\mathbf{8}$ Time response of state and estimation value $(r=1.0)$


Fig. 9 Time response of state and estimation value ( $r=0.85$ )

(a) Error of angle $\tilde{e}_{1}(t)$

(b) Error of angular velocity $\tilde{e}_{2}(t)$

Fig. 10 Comparison of estimation errors ( $r=1.0,0.85$ )
that the state is successfully estimated by the proposed observer.

Fig. 9 shows the state and estimate via switching observer with decay rate $r=0.85<1$. In the figure, solid and dashed lines represent the state and estimate for the plant. From Fig. 9, the estimate tracks the state. Thus, in the case of $r=0.85$, the switching observer can estimate the state. We denote the estimation error of $\theta$ and $\dot{\theta}$ by $\tilde{e}_{1}=\theta-\hat{\theta}$ and $\tilde{e}_{2}=\dot{\theta}-\hat{\dot{\theta}}$. Fig. 10 shows the estimation error in the case of $r=1.0$ and 0.85 . Solid and dashed lines are the error in $r=1$ and $r=0.85$, respectively. From this figure, we can see that the estimation error in $r=0.85$ converges to zero faster than the case of $r=1.0$. Therefore, by setting the decay rate corresponding to specifying domain of pole assignment of the switching observer, the estimation performance is im-
proved. These results show that, for the systems with a time-varying delay system, the proposed observer can estimate the state successfully.

## 6. Experiment

To verify the performance of the observer, an experiment of estimation for the inverted pendulum was conducted. Transmission delay was generated via emulator and observation signals were recorded from the plant stabilized by the controller. After the recording of signals, the observer estimated the state offline. Fig. 11 shows the delay generated by network emulator NIST Net ${ }^{11)}$. The continuous-time state equation of the inverted pendulum is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \dot{x}_{c}\left(t_{c}\right)=A_{c} x_{c}\left(t_{c}\right)+B_{c} u_{c}\left(t_{c}\right), \\
& y_{c}\left(t_{c}\right)=C_{c} x_{c}\left(t_{c}\right), \\
& A_{c}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -\frac{m^{2} l^{2} g}{F} & -\frac{\left(J+m l^{2}\right)\left(c_{c} a^{2} R+K_{m}^{2} K_{g}^{2}\right)}{F a^{2} R} \\
0 & \frac{(M+m) m l g}{F} & \frac{m l\left(c_{c} a^{2} R+K_{m}^{2} K_{g}^{2}\right)}{F a^{2} R}
\end{array}\right. \\
& \left.-\frac{1}{0} \begin{array}{c}
\frac{m l c_{p}}{F} \\
-\frac{(M+m) c_{p}}{F}
\end{array}\right], B_{c}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
0 \\
\frac{\left(J+m l^{2}\right) K_{m} K_{g}}{F a R} \\
-\frac{m l K_{m} K_{g}}{F a R}
\end{array}\right], \\
& C_{c}=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right], F=(M+m) J+M m l^{2}, \\
& x_{c}=[z, \theta, \dot{z}, \dot{\theta}]^{\prime},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $z, \theta, \dot{z}, \dot{\theta}$, and $u$ are position of the cart, angle of the pendulum, velocity of the cart, angular velocity of the pendulum, and input voltage, respectively. Table 2 shows the value of the parameters of the pendulum. In this experiment, the observer estimates the state $x$ via observation signal $y=[z, \theta]^{\prime}$. The pendulum is discretized into a ZOH equivalent system with sampling period 10 $[\mathrm{ms}]$. The maximum of delay is $110[\mathrm{~ms}]\left(h_{d}=11\right)$. The decay rate is chosen as $r=1$.

Fig. 12 shows the time response of the estimate and state of the pendulum. Solid and dashed lines represent the estimate and state. Though we could not measure the velocity and angular velocity in the experiment, difference approximation is represented in Fig. 12(c)-(d). In Fig. 12(a)-(b), the position $z$ and angle $\theta$ are successfully estimated. Since there exists modeling error for the plant,


Fig. 11 Variation of transmission delay in experiment

Table 2 Parameters of an inverted pendulum

| $K_{m}$ | torque constant | $0.00767 \mathrm{~V} / \mathrm{rad} \mathrm{sec}^{-1}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $R$ | armature resistance | $2.6 \Omega$ |
| $K_{g}$ | gear ratio | 3.7 |
| $a$ | radius of pinion | 0.00635 m |
| $M$ | mass of cart | 0.895 kg |
| $2 l$ | length of pendulum | 0.628 m |
| $m$ | mass of pendulum | 0.215 kg |
| $g$ | gravity acceleration | $9.8 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{sec}^{2}$ |
| $J$ | inertia moment of pendulum | 0.0076 N m sec |
| $c_{p}$ | viscous friction of rotation <br> axis for pendulum | 8.4779 N m sec |
| $c_{c}$ | viscous friction of cart | $0.001384 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{sec} / \mathrm{m}$ |



Fig. 12 State estimation of an inverted pendulum
it can be verified that our observer is robust against model error from the result. In Fig. 12(c)-(d), there remains an error between the approximation and estimate. This is considered to be calculation error of the approximation. Thus, state estimation of $z$ and $\theta$ via the switching observer is accomplished under random delay.

## 7. Conclusion

In this paper, we have proposed a gain switching observer for systems with time varying delay. By means of solving linear matrix inequalities, the observer is designed so that the origin of the error system is asymptotically stable. Furthermore, we have also proposed a design
method in order that the performance of the estimation is improved in terms of the decay rate of the Lyapunov function. Numerical examples and an experiment have shown the effectiveness of the switching observer. Future works will involve design of the switching observer-based controller and performance evaluation of the controller.
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## Appendix A. Proof of lemma 1

## A. 1 the case of (B1)

When (B1) occurs, the observer switches to gain $F_{d(0)}=F_{0}$ from $d(0)=0$ (Fig. A. 1). Then, from (3) and (4), we obtain the following equation.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta V(0)= & \left(A \tilde{x}(0)-A \hat{\tilde{x}}(0)-F_{0}\left(C_{0} \tilde{x}(0)-C_{0} \hat{\tilde{x}}(0)\right)\right)^{\prime} P \\
& \left(A \tilde{x}(0)-A \hat{\tilde{x}}(0)-F_{0}\left(C_{0} \tilde{x}(0)-C_{0} \hat{\tilde{x}}(0)\right)\right) \\
& -(\tilde{x}(0)-\hat{\tilde{x}}(0))^{\prime} P(\tilde{x}(0)-\hat{\tilde{x}}(0)) \\
= & \tilde{e}(0)^{\prime}\left(\left(A-F_{0} C_{0}\right)^{\prime} P\left(A-F_{0} C_{0}\right)-P\right) \tilde{e}(0)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $P>0$ and $F_{0}$ satisfy LMIs (7), the next inequality holds.

$$
\left(A-F_{0} C_{0}\right)^{\prime} P\left(A-F_{0} C_{0}\right)-P<0
$$

Therefore, for any $\tilde{e}(0)$, we obtain


Fig. A. 1 Time delay $d(0)=0$ at $t=0$


Fig. A. 2 Not receiving signals at some time

$$
\Delta V(0)<0
$$

## A. 2 the case of (B2)

We consider event (B2). Assume that, at $t-j+1, t-$ $j+2, \cdots, t$, the observer does not receive signals and $y(t-i)$ is the latest signal (see Fig. A. 2). At $t-j$, signal $y(t-i)$ arrives at the observer. Then, from (C), $y(t-i)$ is used for estimation at $t-j, t-j+1, \cdots, t$. Time delay of $y(t-i)$ at $t-j$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
d(t-j)=i-j, \quad d \in \mathcal{Z}_{h_{d}}^{+} \tag{A.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since signal $y(t-i)$ arrives at the observer before time $t$, the next inequality

$$
1 \leq j
$$

holds. From the definition of $i, j$ and assumption (A2), we obtain

$$
j \leq i \leq h_{d}
$$

Thus, the following inequality

$$
1 \leq j \leq i \leq h_{d}
$$

is satisfied.
An interval between time $t-i$ and $t$ is $i$ steps. Thus, transmission delay of signal $y(t-i)$ at $t$ is $d(t)=i$. Then, from (C), the observer is expressed as

$$
\hat{\tilde{x}}(t+1)=A \hat{\tilde{x}}(t)+B u(t)+F_{i}\left(y(t-i)-C_{i} \hat{\tilde{x}}(t)\right)
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& =A \hat{\tilde{x}}(t)+B u(t)+F_{i}\left(\tilde{y}(t-j)-C_{i} \hat{\tilde{x}}(t)\right),  \tag{A.2}\\
& 1 \leq j \leq i \leq h_{d}, \quad t=1,2, \cdots .
\end{align*}
$$

Note that, from the augmented system (2), $y(t-i)=$ $\tilde{y}(t-j)$ holds. Using (A.2), we have the following equation:

$$
\begin{align*}
\tilde{e}(t+1)= & A \tilde{x}(t)+B u(t)-(A \hat{\tilde{x}}(t)+B u(t) \\
& \left.+F_{i}\left(\tilde{y}(t-j)-C_{i} \hat{\tilde{x}}(t)\right)\right) \tag{A.3}
\end{align*}
$$

From the definition of system (2),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{y}(t-j)=C_{i-j} \tilde{x}(t-j), \tag{A.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

is satisfied. Then, from (A.3) and (A.4), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{e}(t+1)=A \tilde{x}(t)-A \hat{\tilde{x}}(t)-F_{i}\left(C_{i-j} \tilde{x}(t-j)-C_{i} \hat{\tilde{x}}(t)\right) . \tag{A.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the definition of $A, B$, and $C_{d}$, the following equations hold.

$$
\begin{align*}
& C_{k-1}=C_{k} A,  \tag{A.6}\\
& C_{k} B=0,  \tag{A.7}\\
& \quad k \in \mathcal{Z}_{h_{d}}^{+} \backslash 0 .
\end{align*}
$$

Here, using the equation (A.6), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{i-j}=C_{i} A^{j} \tag{A.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

In addition, from (A.7) and (A.8), we obtain the following equation.

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{i} \sum_{l=1}^{j} A^{l-1} B u(t-l)=\sum_{l=1}^{j} C_{i+1-l} B u(t-l)=0 . \tag{A.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (A. 2), (A. 8) and (A. 9), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{e}(t+1)= & A \tilde{x}(t)-A \hat{\tilde{x}}(t)-F_{i}\left(C_{i} A^{j} \tilde{x}(t-j)\right. \\
& \left.+C_{i} \sum_{l=1}^{j} A^{l-1} B u(t-l)-C_{i} \hat{\tilde{x}}(t)\right) \\
= & A \tilde{x}(t)-A \hat{\tilde{x}}(t)-F_{i} C_{i}\left(A^{j-1}(A \tilde{x}(t-j)\right. \\
& \left.+B u(t-j))+\sum_{l=1}^{j-1} A^{l-1} B u(t-l)-\hat{\tilde{x}}(t)\right) \\
= & A \tilde{x}(t)-A \hat{\tilde{x}}(t)-F_{i} C_{i}\left(A^{j-1} \tilde{x}(t-j+1)\right. \\
& \left.+\sum_{l=1}^{j-1} A^{l-1} B u(t-l)-\hat{\tilde{x}}(t)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Iterating the above procedure, we obtain a following equation.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{e}(t+1) & =\left(A \tilde{x}(t)-A \hat{\tilde{x}}(t)-F_{i} C_{i}(\tilde{x}(t)-\hat{\tilde{x}}(t))\right. \\
& =\left(A-F_{i} C_{i}\right) \tilde{e}(t) .
\end{aligned}
$$



Fig. A. 3 The case where the newest signal is contained in signals received at $t$.


Fig. A. 4 The case where the newest signal is not contained in signals received at $t$.

Thus,

$$
V(t+1)=\tilde{e}^{\prime}(t)\left(A-F_{i} C_{i}\right)^{\prime} P\left(A-F_{i} C_{i}\right) \tilde{e}(t),
$$

is satisfied. For $\Delta V(t)$, we obtain

$$
\Delta V(t)=\tilde{e}^{\prime}(t)\left(\left(A-F_{i} C_{i}\right)^{\prime} P\left(A-F_{i} C_{i}\right)-P\right) \tilde{e}(t)
$$

From the assumption, there exists $P>0$ satisfying

$$
\left(A-F_{i} C_{i}\right)^{\prime} P\left(A-F_{i} C_{i}\right)-P<0 .
$$

Therefore,

$$
\Delta V(t)<0
$$

holds.

## A. 3 the case of (B3)

(B3) is divided into two cases.
(B3.1) The observer receives one or multiple signals at time $t>0$. Furthermore, these signals include the newest signal (Fig. A. 3).
(B3.2) The observer receives one or multiple signals at time $t>0$. Furthermore, the newest signal arrives at the observer before $t$ (Fig. A. 4).
First, we consider the case of (B3.1). Suppose that the observer receives $\alpha$ signals at $t>0$. Time delays of these signals are denoted by $h_{1}, h_{2}, \cdots, h_{\alpha-1}$ and $h_{\alpha}$, where

$$
\begin{align*}
& 1 \leq \alpha \leq h_{d}+1  \tag{A.10}\\
& 0 \leq h_{\alpha}<h_{\alpha-1}<\cdots<h_{1} \leq h_{d} \tag{A.11}
\end{align*}
$$

hold. Note that, in $\alpha=1$, the observer receives one signal at $t$. Assume that $y(t-i)$ is the newest signal at $t-1$. At $t-j, t-j+1, \cdots, t-1$, the signal $y(t-i)$ is employed for estimation from the rule (C). Then, time delay of $y(t-i)$ at $t-j$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
d(t-j)=i-j \tag{A.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

The following equation is satisfied in the same way as the case of (B2),

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 \leq j \leq i \leq h_{d}+1 \tag{A.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since an interval between time $t-i$ and $t-1$ is $i-1$ steps, time delay of $y(t-i)$ at time $t-1$ is $d(t-1)=i-1$. Then, the observer selects gain $F_{i-1}$. From (A.11), at time $t$, signal $y\left(t_{h_{\alpha}}\right)=\tilde{y}(t)=C_{h_{\alpha}} \tilde{x}(t)$ is received. Thus, the gain is switched to $F_{h_{\alpha}}$ from the rule (C). The observer is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\hat{\tilde{x}}(t+1) & =A \hat{\tilde{x}}(t)+B u(t)+F_{h_{\alpha}}\left(y\left(t_{h_{\alpha}}\right)-C_{h_{\alpha}} \hat{\tilde{x}}(t)\right) \\
& =A \hat{\tilde{x}}(t)+B u(t)+F_{h_{\alpha}} C_{h_{\alpha}}(\tilde{x}(t)-\hat{\tilde{x}}(t)), \tag{A.14}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
t=1,2, \cdots
$$

At time $t-1$, the observer employs $y(t-i)=\tilde{y}(t-j)=$ $C_{i-j} \tilde{x}(t-j)$. Then, the estimate $\hat{\tilde{x}}$ is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\hat{\tilde{x}}(t) & =A \hat{\tilde{x}}(t-1)+B u(t-1)+F_{i-1}(y(t-i) \\
& \left.-C_{i-1} \hat{\tilde{x}}(t-1)\right) \\
& =A \hat{\tilde{x}}(t-1)+B u(t-1)+F_{i-1}\left(C_{i-j} \tilde{x}(t-j)\right. \\
& \left.-C_{i-1} \hat{\tilde{x}}(t-1)\right) . \tag{A.15}
\end{align*}
$$

From (A. 14) and (A. 15), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{e}(t+1) & =\tilde{x}(t+1)-\hat{\tilde{x}}(t+1) \\
& =A \tilde{x}(t)-A \hat{\tilde{x}}(t)-F_{h_{\alpha}}\left(y\left(t_{h_{\alpha}}\right)-C_{h_{\alpha}} \hat{\tilde{x}}(t)\right) \\
& =A^{2} \tilde{x}(t-1)-A\left(A \hat{\tilde{x}}(t-1)+F_{i-1}\left(C_{i-j} \tilde{x}(t-j)\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.-C_{i-1} \hat{\tilde{x}}(t-1)\right)\right)-F_{h_{\alpha}}\left(C_{h_{\alpha}} \tilde{x}(t)-C_{h_{\alpha}}(A \hat{\tilde{x}}(t-1)\right. \\
& +B u(t-1)+F_{i-1}\left(C_{i-j} \tilde{x}(t-j)\right. \\
& \left.\left.\left.-C_{i-1} \hat{\tilde{x}}(t-1)\right)\right)\right) \\
& =A^{2} \tilde{x}(t-1)-A\left(A \hat{\tilde{x}}(t-1)+F_{i-1}\left(C_{i-j} \tilde{x}(t-j)\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.-C_{i-1} \hat{\tilde{x}}(t-1)\right)\right)-F_{h_{\alpha}}\left(C_{h_{\alpha}} A \tilde{x}(t-1)\right. \\
& -C_{h_{\alpha}}\left(A \hat{\tilde{x}}(t-1)+F_{i-1}\left(C_{i-j} \tilde{x}(t-j)\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.\left.-C_{i-1} \hat{\tilde{x}}(t-1)\right)\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

From (A.8) and (A.9), the following equation holds.

$$
\tilde{e}(t+1)=A^{2} \tilde{x}(t-1)-A(A \hat{\tilde{x}}(t-1)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +F_{i-1}\left(C_{i-1} A^{j-1} \tilde{x}(t-j)\right. \\
& +C_{i-1} \sum_{l=2}^{j} A^{l-2} B u(t-l) \\
& \left.\left.-C_{i-1} \hat{\tilde{x}}(t-1)\right)\right)-F_{h_{\alpha}}\left(C_{h_{\alpha}} A \tilde{x}(t-1)\right. \\
& -C_{h_{\alpha}}\left(A \hat{\tilde{x}}(t-1)+F_{i-1}\left(C_{i-1} A^{j-1} \tilde{x}(t-j)\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.\left.+C_{i-1} \sum_{l=2}^{j} A^{l-2} B u(t-l)-C_{i-1} \hat{\tilde{x}}(t-1)\right)\right)\right) \\
& =A^{2} \tilde{x}(t-1)-A\left(A \hat{\tilde{x}}(t-1)+F_{i-1} C_{i-1}\right. \\
& \left(A^{j-2} \tilde{x}(t-j+1)+\sum_{l=2}^{j-1} A^{l-2} B u(t-l)\right. \\
& \quad-\hat{\tilde{x}}(t-1)))-F_{h_{\alpha}} C_{h_{\alpha}}(A \tilde{x}(t-1)-(A \hat{\tilde{x}}(t-1) \\
& +F_{i-1} C_{i-1}\left(A^{j-2} \tilde{x}(t-j+1)\right. \\
& \left.\left.\left.+\sum_{l=2}^{j-1} A^{l-2} B u(t-l)-\hat{\tilde{x}}(t-1)\right)\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Iterating the above procedure, we obtain an equation

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{e}(t+1) & =\left(A-F_{h_{\alpha}} C_{h_{\alpha}}\right)\left(A-F_{i} C_{i}\right)(\tilde{x}(t-1)-\hat{\tilde{x}}(t-1)) \\
& =\left(A-F_{h_{\alpha}} C_{h_{\alpha}}\right)\left(A-F_{i-1} C_{i-1}\right) \tilde{e}(t-1) \\
& =\left(A-F_{h_{\alpha}} C_{h_{\alpha}}\right) \tilde{e}(t) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The following equation holds.

$$
V(t+1)=\tilde{e}^{\prime}(t)\left(A-F_{h_{\alpha}} C_{h_{\alpha}}\right)^{\prime} P\left(A-F_{h_{\alpha}} C_{h_{\alpha}}\right) \tilde{e}(t) .
$$

From the above equation, we have

$$
\Delta V(t)=\tilde{e}^{\prime}(t)\left(\left(A-F_{h_{\alpha}} C_{h_{\alpha}}\right)^{\prime} P\left(A-F_{h_{\alpha}} C_{h_{\alpha}}\right)-P\right) \tilde{e}(t)
$$

From the assumption, there exist $P>0$ and $X_{d}, d \in \mathcal{Z}_{h_{d}}^{+}$ satisfying LMIs (7). Then, we obtain

$$
\left(A-F_{h_{\alpha}} C_{h_{\alpha}}\right)^{\prime} P\left(A-F_{h_{\alpha}} C_{h_{\alpha}}\right)-P<0
$$

Thus,

$$
\Delta V(t)<0
$$

holds.
In the case of (B3.2), suppose that the observer receives $\alpha$ signals at $t>0$. Time delays of these signals are denoted by $h_{1}, h_{2}, \cdots, h_{\alpha-1}$ and $h_{\alpha}$. We assume that these delays satisfy (A.10) and (A.11). At $t>0$, the latest signal is $y(t-i)$ which is received at time $t-j$. In this case, the observer uses $y(t-i)$ at $t-j, t-j+1, \cdots, t$ from the rule (C). At time $t$, delay of $y(t-i)$ is $d(t)=i$. Then, the observer selects $F_{i}$. The equation of the observer is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{\tilde{x}}(t+1) & =A \hat{\tilde{x}}(t)+B u(t)+F_{i}\left(y(t-i)-C_{i} \hat{\tilde{x}}(t)\right) \\
& =A \hat{\tilde{x}}(t)+B u(t)+F_{i}\left(\tilde{y}(t-j)-C_{i} \hat{\tilde{x}}(t)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
1 \leq j \leq i \leq h_{d}, \quad t=1,2, \cdots
$$

This case is the same as (B2). From the same procedure as case (B2), we obtain $\Delta V(t)<0$.

## A. 4 the case of (B4)

From the proof in case (B3), case (B3) includes case (B4) (see Fig. A. 3 and Fig. A. 4). $\Delta V(t)<0$ holds in case (B4).

Thus, in the cases of (B1)-(B4), $\Delta V(t)<0$ holds.
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